LDL AND FRIEDEWALD EQUATION

BY MUHAMMAD HUSSAIN, MD (PGY1)

0

Ó

SPECIAL THANKS: DR. ADIL I. KHAN AND DR. MEHRIBAN ULAS

PATIENT HISTORY

• 55 years old male

0

- History of Dyslipidemia
- Routine lipid panel done at our lab
- And the result showed...

		no ago	1 yr ago	1 yr ago	6 yr ago
Ref Range & Units		31/22)	(5/7/21)	(3/8/21)	(2/23/16
<pre></pre> <pre><</pre>	<5	0	108 -		111 *
			sterol: Less than		
	Concerned and		erol: 200-239 mg/	dL	
High To	tal Cholest	erol: 240 m	g/dL or greater		
Triglycerides <150 mg/dL	27	2 *	55 ^{CM}	64 .*	86 *
Comment:	Borderline	High : 150)-199 mg/dL		
High: 2	200-499 mg/d	L			
Very Hi	.gh: >500 mg	/dL			
High Density Lipopro lesterol 26 - 74 mg/dL	tein Cho- 11	*	52	53 *	37 *
Low Density Lipoprot lated	tein, Calcu- <u>1</u>	5 v	45 ∝	49 ^R	
<100 mg/dL					
Comment:	Optimal LD		An order and a state of the sta		
201 Carbon 7	timal Resul	No			
	ine High: 1		L.		
75.50.577.51.130	.60-189 mg/d				
TT		/ -IT			
A TRANSPORTATION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A D	gh: > 190 m	7.000.000	F M		
Cholesterol/High Der	a second s	7.000.000	2.08 -		
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio	nsity Lipo- 4.	55		L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio	Atheroscle Risk	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment:	Atheroscle Risk	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio	Atheroscle Risk 1/2 Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment:	Atheroscle Risk 1/2 Avg Average	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment:	Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men :	Atheroscle Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment:	Atheroscle Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men :	Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men :	Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22 5.03	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44 7.05	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men: Women:	Risk Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 1/2 Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22 5.03 6.14	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44 7.05 11.04	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men: Women: After C	Risk Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22 5.03 6.14 erage Risk	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44 7.05 11.04 Implies a 20-25%	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men: Women: After C	Risk Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 1/2 Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22 5.03 6.14 erage Risk	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44 7.05 11.04 Implies a 20-25%	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men: Women: After C	Risk Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22 5.03 6.14 erage Risk	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44 7.05 11.04 Implies a 20-25%	L, and Cholesterol:	
Cholesterol/High Der protein Ratio Comment: Men: Women: After C	Risk Risk 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 1/2 Avg Average 2x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg 3x Avg castelli: Avo of Developin tein/High -1	55 rosis Risk LDL/HDL 1.00 3.55 6.25 7.99 1.47 3.22 5.03 6.14 erage Risk ng CHD by A	Ratios for LDL, HD CHOL/HDL 3.43 4.97 9.55 23.3 3.27 4.44 7.05 11.04 Implies a 20-25%	L, and Cholesterol:	

 \mathcal{O}

O

ဝ

 \bigcirc

 \frown

WHAT CAN CAUSE THE LDL TO BE A NEGATIVE VALUE IN THE LAB REPORT ?

"FRIEDEWALD EQUATION"

FRIEDEWALD EQUATION

• First described in 1972 by Friedewald et al

Most common and important method of LDL-C determination

Total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol measured directly by

routine methods

FRIEDEWALD EQUATION

 Measured values total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol are used to calculate LDL-C (mg/dL) by Friedewald Equation

• Friedewald Equation:

• LDL-C = Total cholesterol - HDL cholesterol - [Triglyceride ÷ 5]

LIMITATIONS OF FRIEDEWALD EQUATION

• Friedewald equation inaccurate in certain samples

• Triglyceride concentration $\geq 400 \text{ mg/dL}$ or very low total cholesterol

Calculated LDL-C falsely depressed

• High chylomicrons

- Nonfasting
- Type III dyslipidemia (dysbetalipoproteinemia)

HOW OUR PATIENT VALUE WAS IN THE NEGATIVE ?

• According to his lab values

- Total cholesterol: 50 mg/dL
- HDL:11 mg/dL
- Triglycerides: 272 mg/dL
- Putting these values in Friedewald Equation
 - LDL-C = Total cholesterol HDL cholesterol [Triglyceride \div 5]
 - LDL-C = $50 11 [272 \div 5]$
 - LDL-C = 39 [54.4]
 - LDL-C = -15.4 mg/dL

DISORDERS THAT CAUSE HYPOCHOLESTEREMIA

• Genetic Causes:

- Familial Hypobetalipoproteinemia
- Abetalipoproteinemia
- Chylomicron Retention Syndrome
- Familial Hypoalphalipoproteinemia
- Apolipoprotein Al Mutations

Secondary Causes:

- Malabsorption
- Sepsis
- Liver failure
- Cachexia syndromes
- Malnutrition

SO WHAT ARE THE OPTIONS TO MEASURE ACCURATE LDL OF SUCH PATIENTS ?

- Ultracentrifugation and Beta quantification method:
 - Gold standard to measure LDL
 - Expensive and inconvenient for the routine laboratory
 - Limited to specialized lipid laboratories
 - Using other equations:
 - About 15 calculations developed since Friedewald, all trying to tackle challenges presented by Friedewald – not all have been validated in large cohorts

Martin-Hopkins equation

- It outperformed the Friedewald equation
- Validated in patients on lipid lowering therapy
- But it underestimated VLDL-C in hypertriglyceridemic samples (>400mg/dL)

- A new promising equation...
 - Sampson Low-Density lipoprotein Equation

Sampson Low-Density lipoprotein Equation

- LDL-C= TC/0.948-HDL-C/0.971-(TG/8.56+TG × non-HDL-C/2140 -TG²/16100)-9.44²⁵
- Similar performance like Martin-Hopkins equation in patients on Lipid lowering therapy
- Allows accurate determination of LDL-C with triglycerides up to 800 mg/dL
- Although more complex than Friedewald equation, it is compatible with modern laboratory informatics systems.

TAKE AWAY HOME POINTS

- Communicate and educate the laboratory technicians about the potential of errors in reporting low level of LDL
- Communicate with laboratory technician to report such kind of errors to the lab director so that it can be thoroughly investigated

PATIENT SAFETY ASPECTS

- When building chemistry tests involving formulae important to check the calculations to identify typographical errors
- Before going <u>live</u> with any test important to check:
 - Results are crossing over from instrument-to-EMR interface correctly
 - Different sample concentration scenarios are tested to check integrity of the formulae
 - Scenario's where formulae produces negative results appropriate comments are added with test result

THANK YOU!